

## **Recording and Sharing Disaster Loss Data**

March 2015

#### www.jrc.ec.europa.eu

*Serving society Stimulating innovation Supporting legislation* 





## **Policy Background: EU added value**

#### Jan. 2014: Adoption of the Union Civil Protection Mechanism

<u>Art. 5</u> : MS are required to complete risk assessments at national or appropriate subnational level and make available a summary of the relevant elements by 22 Dec. 2015 and every three years thereafter.

Risk assessment and mapping guidelines for disaster management (Dec. 2010)

Risk Management Capability Guidelines (XX, 2015)

> Guidelines For Sharing Disaster Loss Data (April, 2015)









European Environment Agency













## **Application areas**





### Loss data serving several purposes





## EU added value of a loss data-sharing standard

Loss data sharing at EU level will support several policy areas:

- Union Civil Protection Mechanism
- Flood Directive, Solidarity Fund, State Aid

Creating guidance at EU level allows to be coherent with other frameworks: INSPIRE, EUROSTAT nomenclature.

It also ensures compatibility with international frameworks:

- Post-2015 Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction,
- OECD framework for accounting national expenditures and loss information,
- IRDR Guidelines on Human and Economic Impact Indicators





## **Situation in EU: Comparative analysis**

#### **Purpose of loss databases** Italy Portugal Romania Slovenia Belgium Bulgaria Germany Austria Croatia France Greece Sweden Spain Asset/Nat Sclae/scope Asset/Region Municip/Nat **Regular updates** Multi-hazard Public access Legal basis





## **Comparative analysis**

#### **Application areas**





## **Comparative analysis**

#### **Methodology of recording**





## Main findings: loss data in EU

- 12 out of 15 participating Member States have established and maintained a loss database,
- Large differences in the processes of loss data collection and recording,
  - Differences in IT systems,
  - Differences in terminologies for peril classification,
- Lack of standards (e.g. for human and economic losses) that prevent aggregation at EU or global levels,
- Drivers for loss data recording mainly linked to:
  - i) (semi) public national compensation schemes,
  - ii) existing national and EU legislations
  - iii) for improving prevention and response mechanisms.

10 April 2015





## **Three key documents prepared in consultation with Member States**





### MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR LOSS DATA SHARING





## **Answers to which questions?**

#### • Post-2015 Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction: Targets

- Reduce mortality, affected (per capita) and direct economic losses (per GDP)
- Reduce damage to critical infrastructure

#### • Disaster Risk Reduction at National and EU level

- Graph of <u>total loss for the whole country</u> by hazard type from 2000 to 2014
- Map of <u>average annual flood (or another hazard type) loss</u> per NUTS2 level (province) by loss owner. Loss owner categories are: government, business, individual, insurer
- Table of <u>trends in total loss</u> for the whole country by sector and by year
- List of top 10 municipalities by total loss in 2010





### **Model of Disaster loss database**



14

## Indicators of the loss database

### HAZARD EVENT IDENTIFICATION



azard event Fields entification		Standards or best practices to be considered	Minimum Requirement
	Geographical information	Country code (ISO 3166-1 alpha-3 specification) Minimal spatial unit (NUTS classification - LAU2 level) Coordinates (latitude, longitude) of point or polygon	NUTS1 NUTS2
	Temporal information	Event date and time: UTC time (h) Period: start date (dd/mm/yyyy) - end date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Х
	Hazard event classification	IRDR peril classification (Annex 1: Peril classification) INSPIRE - HazardCategoryValue	Х
	Event type specific attributes	Small set of severity indicators for search purposes	
	Hazard event identification number	Hazard event code-yyyy-ID number-Country ISO code	Х

European Commission

Centre

## **Indicators of the loss database**

### AFFECTED ELEMENTS

**Fields** 

Affected elements

Standards or best practices to be considered

				iteqi
Geographical			Country code ( ISO 3166-1 alpha-3 specification) Minimal spatial unit (LAU2), Coordinates (latitude, longitude), Footprints	
People		Age/gender/marital status, etc.	ECLAC based	
Property		occupancy classification /height/construction material/etc.	Eurostat (Classification of types of construction, CC)	
	Buildings	hazard dependent classification	Syner-G or HAZUS (earthquake), HAZUS (flood), HAZUS (wind)	
		owner		
		who bears the loss		
	Civil work	type classification	HAZUS	
		size/length		
		hazard dependent classification		
		source of pollutants	Natech classification	
	Content/ Equipment	depends on the occupancy classification		
	Vehicles	type classification		
	Products/ Stock/ Crop	type classification	Eurostat	
	Owner	Individuals/ business/ government/ etc.	(National Research Council (NRC), 1999)	
	Who bears the loss	Individuals/ business/ government/ etc.	(National Research Council (NRC), 1999)	
Environment	Human-made landscape	Land Cover/Land Use classification	CORINE Land Cover European database/Land Use classes, LUCAS	
	environment	area		
	Natural environment	type of ecosystem/habitats	Habitats Directive" (92/43/EEC)	



Loss Indicators Hazard event identification Human Losses Direct Loss

Directly

Indirectly offector

Indirect Loss

Min.

Rea

Economic Losses

## Indicators of the loss database

## HUMAN LOSSES

Fields

Human Loss

indicators



Standards or best practices to be considered

Directly affected* Indirectly affected*	<ul> <li>Human loss framework proposed in De Groeve et al., (2014)</li> <li>IRDR Guidelines on Measuring Losses from Disasters (2015)</li> <li>ECLAC (2010)</li> </ul>	X
Killed	- Human loss framework proposed in De Groeve et al., (2014)	Х
Missing	- IRDR Guidelines on Measuring Losses from Disasters (2015)	Х

\* A similar approach has been used in ECLAC (2010) for dividing the affected people.



Minimum

Requirement



## **Indicators of the loss database** ECONOMIC LOSSES



Economic Loss indicators	Fields		Standards or best practices to be considered	Minimum Requirement
	Direct	Economic Sector: Agriculture/Education/manufacturing/infrastruct	Industrial Classification of all Economic Activities (ISIC)- version 4	Х
	loss	ure, etc.	(2008)	(Total of
				all sectors)
		Owner: Individuals/ business/ government/ non- governmental organizations and insurance companies	(National Research Council (NRC), 1999)	Х
		Who bears the loss: Individuals/ business/ etc.	(National Research Council (NRC), 1999)	Х
	Indirect	<ul><li>Price increases</li><li>Increase in unemployment</li></ul>	-DALA methodology (ECLAC, 2010), - OECD Framework For Accounting	
	loss	<ul> <li>Decline of GDP</li> <li>Increase in government debt</li> <li>Negative impacts on stock market prices</li> <li>Cost of reconstruction and recovery*</li> <li>Cost of planning and implementation of risk prevention measures</li> <li>Cost of emergency relief services</li> </ul>	National Risk Management Expenditures And Losses of Disasters (December 2014), - IRDR Guidelines on Measuring Losses from Disasters (2015).	

European Commission

# European

Commission

## **Indicators of the loss database** ECONOMIC LOSSES



Economic Loss indicators	Fields		Standards or best practices to be considered	Minimum Requirement
	Losses due to business interruption	<ul> <li>Loss of revenue</li> <li>Losses due to the absence of public services         <ul> <li>Loss due to lack of telecommunication</li> <li>Loss due to lack of transportation</li> <li>Loss due to lack of gas, water and electricity</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	-DALA methodology (ECLAC, 2010), - OECD Framework For Accounting National Risk Management Expenditures And Losses of Disasters (December 2014), - IRDR Guidelines on Measuring Losses from Disasters (2015). - (Meyer et al., 2013)	
	Intangible costs	<ul> <li>Environmental losses</li> <li>Health impacts</li> <li>Cultural heritage losses</li> <li>Loss of reputation</li> <li>Psychological stress</li> </ul>	OECD Framework For Accounting National Risk Management Expenditures And Losses of Disasters (December 2014)	





# Summary of main requirements for loss-data sharing standard

- Loss data at asset level is not necessary; aggregation of data geographically at regional (NUTS2) or national levels (NUTS1) can be sufficient,
- Only direct losses in national currency need to be reported ;
- Summary or aggregate statistics should be shared using an open data policy in a common data standard to support transboundary and international processes (including the post-2015 Framework).





## Conclusions

- Sharing disaster loss data is important at local, national, EU and global level
- **EU Loss Data technical working group**: technical guidance for recording and sharing. France is an active partner, and has a lot to contribute
- The **Public Private Partnership** is a good model for sustainable loss databases
- The **active national multi-stakeholder process** is a best practice for other EU countries

